TEMPERATURE AND PH INFLUENCE ON ANTAGONISTIC POTENTIAL OF *TRICHODERMA* SP. STRAINS AGAINST *RHIZOCTONIA SOLANI*

Cristina PETRIȘOR¹, Alexandru PAICA¹, Florica CONSTANTINESCU¹

¹Research and Development Institute for Plant Protection, Ion Ionescu de la Brad Blvd., No.8, District 1, Bucharest, Romania

Corresponding author email: crisstop@yahoo.com

Abstract

Species of the genus Trichoderma sp. are considered as potential biocontrol agents (BCA) for many plant diseases. The effectiveness of biocontrol agents depends on several parameters therefore their application showed consider climatic factor that could affect their biocontrol capacity. The present study examined the antagonistic potential of two Trichoderma sp. strains (Td85 and Td50) against Rhizoctonia solani depending on pH (4.5;5.5) and temperature (25°C, 30°C). Both strains of Trichoderma sp. studied inhibit stronger growth of Rhizoctonia solani at 30°C compared to 25°C. Also our results revealed that both strains of Trichoderma have maximum antagonistic ability to Rhizoctonia solani strain at pH=4.5.

Key words: climatic factors, biocontrol, inhibition percent

INTRODUCTION

Rhizoctonia solani is a soil pathogen that causes diseases in a wide range of hosts of agricultural, horticultural and ornamentals crops (Cundom et al., 2003). It can cause severe damage specially during the seedlings pre-emergence and post-emergence stages and is a limiting factor in the production of crops. Diseases caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* are difficult because this pathogen survives for many years as sclerotia or as mycelium in soil or organic matter under various conditions and has an extremely wide host range. (Osman et al., 2011).

Many important agricultural and horticultural crops worldwide are mostly affected by *R.solani* including tomato, bean, potato, strawberry, soybean, tobacco, tulip (Rahman et al., 2014; Seema and Devaki, 2012; Osman et al., 2011; Lahlali and Hijri, 2010, Grosch, 2007; Elad et al., 2006; Singh and Chand, 2006; Schneider et al., 1997; Tu et al., 1996).

Species of the genus *Trichoderma* sp. are considered as potential biocontrol agents (BCA) for many plant diseases (Galarza et al. 2015; Singh et al. 2013; Kohl and Schlosser 1989).

The effectiveness of biocontrol agents depends on several parameters, that include specific pathogen, soil texture, water content, pH, temperature and crop history (Berg et al., 2005; Kredics et al., 2003), therefore their application should consider the environmental stress that could affect their ability to maintain their biocontrol capacity.

Although there are several publication on the antagonistic activities of *Trichoderma* sp., there is little information on the effects of pH and temperature on its antagonistic proprieties against *Rhizoctonia solani* (Daryaei et al., 2016; Lahlali and Hijri, 2010; Montealegre et al., 2009; Santamarina and Rosello, 2006).

The goal of this work was to evaluate the *in vitro* effects of different environmental conditions (temperature and pH) on the antagonism of *Trichoderma* sp. towards *Rhizoctonia solani*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

One *Rhizoctonia solani* strain and two *Trichoderma* sp. strains (Td85 and Td 50) obtained from RDIPP culture collection were used in this experiment. These fungal strains were maintained at 4°C on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) with periodical subculturing on the same medium at 25°C.

Trichoderma sp. strains used in this experiment were identified at species level as *Trichoderma*

asperellum according molecular analysis(Paica et al., 2015).

Fungal isolates of *Trichoderma* sp. were *in vitro* screened for their ability to suppress the mycelial growth of *R. solani* in dual culture assays (Morton and Stroube, 1955).

Mycelial blocks (5mm) were cutted from the periphery of 5 days old culture of both *Trichoderma* sp. and *R.solani*. Two mycelial blocks one from *Trichoderma* and other from *R.solani* were placed in a same time on PDA (Potato Dextrose Agar) plate in opposite directions and incubated at two temperatures $(25^{\circ}C, 30^{\circ}C)$ for 4 days. The radial growth of each colony was measured at 48.72 and 96 hours interval.

PDA with different pH levels (4.5 and 5.5) were poured into Petri dishes and a 5 mm plug from the margin of actively growing colony of *Trichoderma* sp. strains and *R.solani* were placed in opposite direction and were incubated at 28°C for 4 days.

Controls were also set up with the pathogen alone so that a growth without interactions could be precisely measured. Three replicates for each antagonist-pathogen combination and for the controls were considered. Percent inhibition of mycelial growth of targeted fungal pathogen over control was calculated by following equation:

I% = C - T/C where:

I%-percent inhibition in mycelial growth

C-colony diameter of pathogen in control plates

T -colony diameter of pathogen in dual culture plates

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A broad range of temperature tolerance for growth and sporulation of *Trichoderma* sp. is a very interesting feature for suitability of the antagonism.

A clear zone of interaction between antagonist and pathogen was observed after 48 h of incubation. The mycelium of both *Trichoderma* sp. strains grew abundantly on *R. solani* after 4 days of incubation.

Both *Trichoderma* sp. strains were able to significantly decrease the radial growth of *R.solani* mycelium within 4 days at both

temperature conditions. *Trichoderma* Td85 was more active against *R.solani* strain at 30°C compared to 25°C. Td85 limited gowth of *R.solani* mycelium more than 57% at 30°C (fig.1). Also, Td85 strain was more effective at 25°C with a inhibition percentage of 54.48% compared to Td50 with a percentage of 53.33% (fig.1 and 2). Our results are in agreement with Grosch et al, (2007) who reported that most strains of *Trichoderma* sp. studied by them showed better antagonistic activity against *R.solani* at higher temperature (30°C).

Also, our results are in conformity with those of Montealegre et al., (2009) who suggested that low and high temperatures (between 5°C and 22°C) do not changes the biocontrol capacity of different *Trichoderma* sp. strains on *R.solani*.



Figure 1. Effect of the temperature on the inhibition of the mycelial growth of *R.solani* in dual culture assay with *Trichoderma* sp. after 4 days of incubation



Figure 2 Antagonistic effect between *R.solani* and *Trichoderma* sp. at 30°C (left) and 25°C (right) after 4 days of incubation

Our results showed that both *Trichoderma* sp. strains were antagonistic to *R.solani* at both pH values although differences were found among strains. The data presented in fig.3 indicate that both *Trichoderma* sp. strains studied were effective in suppressing *R.solani* at pH=4.5 compared to pH=5.5.

At pH=4.5, no significant differences between the two antagonistic strains was observed regarding the percentages of inhibition (56%) of the mycelial growth (fig.3, fig.4). However at pH=5.5, Td85 strain had a slight increase of inhibition procentage (53.72%) compared to Td50 (52.15%)



Fig. 3 Effect of pH on the inhibition of radial growth of *R. solani* in dual culture with *Trichoderma* sp. strains after 4 days of incubation



Fig.4 Antagonistic effect between *R.solani* and *Trichoderma* sp. at pH=4.5(left) and pH=5.5 (right) after 4 days of incubation

Results of Darvaei et al., 2016 suggest that at different pH values Trichoderma atroviride gave significantly various amount of inhibition and overgrowth activity against R.solani in dual assavs with culture the strongest inhibition(76%) at pH=7.5. However Bagwan, 2010 reported that most favourable pH for maximum antagonistic potential of Trichoderma viride against S. rolfsii and R.solani ranged between 5.5 to 6.5.

CONCLUSIONS

The interaction between *Trichoderma* sp. and *R.solani* was dependent on temperature and pH. *Trichoderma* Td85 strain proved to be most effective with the highest percentage of inhibition at 30°C whereas Td50 strain showed lower inhibition at this temperature.

Our results supported that the most appropriate pH for maximum antagonistic potential of tested strains was 4.5.

REFERENCES

Bagwan N.B., 2010. Influence of temperature and pH on antagonistic potential of *Trichoderma viride in* vitro.International Journal of Plant Protection 3 (2): 165-169

- Cundom M.A., Mazza S.M., Gutierrez S.A., 2003. Selection of *Trichoderma* spp. isolates against *R.solani* .Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research1(4):79-82
- Daryaei A., Jones E.E., Glare T.R., Falloon R.E., 2016. pH and water activity in culture media affect biological control activity of *Trichoderma atroviride* against *R.solani*. Biological Control 92:24-30
- Elad Y., Chet I, Henis Y., 2006. Biological control of *R. solani* in strawberry fields by *Trichoderma harzianum*. Plant Soil 60:245-254
- Galarza L., Akagi Y., Tkao K., Kim C.S., Maekawa N., Itai A., Peralta E., Santos E., Kodam M., 2015. Characterization of *Trichoderma* species isolated in Ecuador and their antagonistic activities against phytopathogenic fungi from Ecuador and Japan. J Gen Plant Pathol 81:201–210
- Grosch R., Lottmann J., Rehn V.N.C., Rehnk G., Mendoca-Hagler L., Smalla K., Berg G., 2007 Analysis of antagonistic interactions between *Trichoderma* isolates from Brazilian weeds and the soil –borne pathogen *Rhizoctonia solani* .J of Plant Diseases and Protection 114(4):167-175
- Köhl J., Schlösser E., 1989, Decay of sclerotia of *Botrytis cinerea* by *Trichoderma* spp. at low temperatures. Journal of Phytopathology, 125(4): 320-326.
- Kredics L., Antal Z., Manczinger L., Szekeres A., Kevei F., Nagy E., 2003. Influence of environmental parameters on *Trichoderma* strain with biocontrol potential. Food Technol. Biotechnol. 41(1):37-42
- Lahlali R., Hijri M., 2010. Screening, identification and evaluation of potential biocontrol fungal endophytes against *R.solani* AG3 on potato plants. FEMS Microbiol Lett 311:152-159
- Montealegre J., Valderama L., Herrera R., Besoain X., Perez L.M., 2009. Biocontrol capacity of wild and mutant *Trichoderma harzianum* (Rifai) strains on *R.solani* 618: effect of temperature and soil type during storage. Electronic J. of Biotechnology 12(4):1-11
- Morton D.T., Stroube N.H., 1955, Antagonistic and stimulatory effect of microorganism upon *Sclerotium rolfsii*. Phytopathology 45:419-420
- Osman M.E.H., El-Sheekh M.M., Metwally M.A. Ismail A.E.A., Ismail M.M., 2011. Antagonistic activity of some fungi and cyanobacteria species against *R.solani*. Int. J of Plant Pathology 2(3):101-114
- Paica A., Petrisor C., Constantinescu F. 2015. Influence of abiotic factors on biological control ability of different *Trichoderma* spp. strains. Annals of the University of Craiova. XX(LVI):543-550
- Rahman M., Ali M.A., Dey T.K., Islam M.M., Naher L., Ismail A., 2014. Evolution of disease and potential biocontrol activity of *Trichoderma* sp against *R. solani* on potato. Biosci J. Uberlandia 30(4):1108-1117
- Santamarina M.P., Rosello J., 2006. Influence of temperature and water activity on the antagonism of the *Trichoderma harzianum* to *Verticilium* and *Rhizoctonia*. Crop protection 25:1130-1134

- Schneider J.H.M., Schilder M.T., Dust G., 1997. Characterization of *R.solani* AG-2. isolates causing barepatch in field grown tulips in the Netherlands. Eur.J.Plant Pathol.103:265-279
- Seema M., Devaki N.S., 2012. In vitro evaluation of biological control agents against *Rhizoctonia solani*. Jof Agric. Techn. 8(1):233-240
- Singh A., Mohd S., Srivastava M., Biswas S.K., 2013. Molecular and antagonistic activity of *Trichoderma* atroviride against legume crop pathogens in Uttar

Pradesh, India. Int. Journal of Bioresource and Stress Management.4(4):582-587

- Singh S., Chand H., 2006. Screening of bioagents against root rot of mung bean caused by *R.solani*. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.18:710-721
- Tu C.C., Hsieh T.F., Chang Y.C., 1996. Vegetable diseases incited by *Rhizoctonia* spp in Sneh B., Jabaji-Hare S., Neate S., Dijst G.(eds):*Rhizoctonia* species: taxonomy, molecular biology, ecology,pathology and disease control, pp 369-377, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.