# **RESEARCH ON SOME METHODS OF CANOPY MANAGEMENT TO MITIGATE THE EFFECTS OF CLIMATE WARMING AT GRAPEVINE**

## Georgeta Mihaela BUCUR

University of Agronomic Sciences and Veterinary Medicine of Bucharest, Faculty of Horticulture, 59 Mărăști Blvd, District 1, Bucharest, Romania

Corresponding author email: mihaela g savu@yahoo.com

#### Abstract

The study was conducted in 2017-2019 in the experimental plantation of the USAMV Bucharest, on the most widespread Romanian grape variety, 'Fetească regală clone 21 Bl', grafted on the rootstock 'Kober 5 BB', to monitor how green operations - severe shoot topping and leaf removal above the bunch area - causes an effective delay in the accumulation of sugars in the grapevine berries. The green operations have been performed when berry sugar content reached about 14-15.5°Brix. The severe shoot topping at beginning of veraison increased competition of the lateral shoots, contributed to the delay of grapes maturity. Defoliation consisted in removing 30-40% of the vine leaves located above the grape floor (the most active photosynthetically). The results obtained on the qualitative parameters were compared with the control on which no intervention was applied. During the three years, there were lower accumulations of sugars in the berries at the time of harvesting the grapes, both in the case of severe shoot topping (21.8°Brix), and in leaf removal (21.5°Brix), compared to the control (22.8°Brix).

Key words: canopy management, climate change, grapevine.

# **INTRODUCTION**

The multitude of effects of global warming has led, in the last decade, to the development of strategies for adapting the grapevine culture, which provide for a series of measures in the long, medium and short term.

Short-term measures refer to the application of pruning (Novello & de Palma, 2013; Frioni et al., 2016; Zheng et al., 2017; Moran et al., 2018), to the application of green operations (Stoll et al., 2009; Filippetti et al., 2011; Martinez de Toda & Balda, 2013; Poni et al., 2013; Palliotti et al., 2014; Quénol et al., 2014; Parker et al., 2016; Caccavello et al., 2019; Silvestroni et al., 2019; Holzapfel et al., 2020; Lopez-Granados et al., 2020), as well as in soil maintenance works (Brînduşe et al., 2013; Şerdinescu et al., 2013; Enache & Donici, 2014; Şerdinescu & Brînduşe, 2014, and others).

Good results were also obtained by using plant growth regulators (Davies et al., 2015) and antitranspirants (Palliotti et al., 2013b; Gatti et al., 2016).

The main measures are to delay the ripening of grapes leading to a reduction in excessive accumulations of sugars, maintaining titratable acidity at normal values, which leads to obtaining wines with a medium, balanced alcohol content.

Lower accumulations of sugars in berries can also be obtained by reducing the "leaf area: fruit weight ratio" to values less than  $0.5-0.8 \text{ m}^2/\text{kg}$  by some works and green operations (defoliation, shoot topping etc.).

The research carried out in Valea Călugărească, for the 'Cabernet Sauvignon' variety, confirmed that at low values of the "leaf area:fruit weight ratio" (6-8 cm<sup>2</sup>/g grape, respectively 0.6-0.8 m<sup>2</sup>/kg) obtained sugar concentrations of 180-185 g/L, compared to 197-205 g/L, at optimal values of 1.0-1.7 m<sup>2</sup>/kg (Belea, 2008).

Research by Palliotti et al. (2013a) for the Sangiovese variety in central Italy, with the removal of 30-35% of the leaf surface of the vine (from the middle - upper part) when the sugar concentration of the must was 16-17°Brix determined for the variety 'Sangiovese' reduced it by 1.2°Brix when the grapes were harvested, without affecting the titratable acidity, the content of anthocyanins and total polyphenols.

Shoot topping and increased competition from grapevine lateral shoot can contribute to

delaying grape ripening. Through the late shoot topping one week after the grape veraison, Filippetti et al. (2011) obtained a significant reduction in the concentration of sugars in the must, without changing the pH, organic acids, the content of anthocyanins in the skins of the berries and the tannins in the seeds.

The aim of this study was to investigate the influence of some green operations (shoot topping and leaf removal) on delay of grape maturation, as a measure to mitigate the effects of global warming.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Plant material and experimental conditions

The experiment was carried out during the vegetation period of 2017, 2018 and 2019 in the experimental vineyard located in the southern part of Romania, at the University of Agronomic Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Bucharest (N Lat.: 44° 47' 07"; E Long.: 26° 07' 28"; alt. 87 m).

The plantation where the experiment took place was established in 1994, with 'Fetească regală' variety, clone 21 Bl, grafted on 'Kober 5 BB' rootstock, spaced by 2.2 m (inter-row) and 1.2 m (intra-row), with a density of 3787 plant ha-1. The vines are trained as bilateral cordon with a spur pruning system and loading of 12 buds/m2 and the support system is of the vertical monoplane type. The plantation is located on a plane surface with reddish preluvosol soil and rows direction N-S. Phytosanitary treatments against to control diseases and pests have been applied in accordance with local standard practice.

# Phenological data

The four main phenophases (budburst, flowering, veraison, harvesting maturity) were followed, according to BBCH (Bioligische **B**undesansalt und Chemische Industrie), modified under the COST Action FA1003 "East-West Collaboration for Grapevine Diversity Exploration and Mobilization of Adaptive Traits for Breeding" (Rustioni et al., 2014).

Data were recorded at which 50% of buds, flowers, grapes reached the respective phenological stages (BBCH 008 - budburst; BBCH 605 - flowering; BBCH 801 - veraison and BBCH 809 - berries ripe for harvest).

Canopy management techniques used in research

On three consecutive rows, three intervals were selected (3 repetitions of 10 vine), on which three different foliage management techniques were applied, including control, severe topping and leaf removal above the floor with grapes.

 $\rightarrow$  Control (C) - no green operations (topping shoots or leaf removal) were applied to these vines.

 $\rightarrow$  Severe shoot topping (T) and leaf removal (LR) have been applied on 28.07.2017, 24.07.2018 and 31.07.2019 (Figures 1 and 2).

The green operations were performed manually in the veraison phenophase, corresponding to the phenological stage BBCH 85 - the berries become translucent or colored and softening (Lorenz et al., 1994) and up to an average concentration of soluble sugars in berries between 14.0-15.5°Brix.

The severe topping of the shoots applied in July and the increased competition of the lateral shoot contributed to the delay of the ripening of the grapes.

Also, the defoliation applied after veraison, by removing the 50-60 day old leaves (the most photosynthetic active), from the middle part of the vegetal wall, determined a slowing down of the sugar accumulation. Defoliation consisted in the removal of 30-40% of the leaves of the vine, and created a 35-40 cm vertical window without leaves above the bunch area, keeping a few leaves at the canopy apex.

# *Quantitative and qualitative parameters examine in research*

The grapes were harvested during the three years of observations between September 4-12. At harvesting, for control and each experimental variant, determinations were made on quantitative (grape weight - grams, berry weight - grams, yield - kg/vine) and qualitative parameters (sugar content - °Brix, titratable acidity - g/L tartaric acid). Sugar concentration in grapes was measured by using an Atago digital refractometer. The results were expresed in °Brix. Titratable acidity was determined by titrating with 0.1 N NaOH using an Pellet digital biurette, and expresed as g/L tartaric acid.

### Statistical analysis

Each data set was analyzed using analysis of variance, the statistical One Way ANOVA, and the mean values of the experimental and control variants were compared post-hoc Tukey HSD p<0.05. Results of the seasonal evolution of sugar content and titratable acidity are shown as means  $\pm$  standard error.



Figure 1. The topping of shoots of 'Fetească regală' variety



Figure 2. The leaf removal above the bunch area at 'Fetească regală' variety

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

#### Climatic conditions

The climatic indicators were determined for experimental period (2017-2019) comparatively with reference period (1981-2010) after the recommendations of National Meteorological Administration (Dima et al., 2019).

Table 1 shows an obvious global warming during the experimentation period, especially during the vegetation period of the vine. Thus, the largest differences are found in the case of maximum annual temperatures (1.4°C), those during the growing season (1.38°C) and during the summer (June-August) (1.1°C). At the same time, there was a decrease in rainfall during the vegetation period (on average by 14%), especially in 2018 (by 27%).

Table 1. The main climatic parameters and bioclimatic
indices during the experimentation period (2017-2019)
compared to the reference period (1981-2010)

Climatic parameters and	Average		Years		Average			
bioclimatic indices	1981- 2010	2017	2018	2019	2017- 2019			
Average annual temperature, °C	11.55	11.74	12.21	12.92	12.29			
Average temperature in the growing season (IV-X), °C	18.07	17.99	19.33	18.53	18.62			
Average temperature in summer (VI-VIII), °C	22.50	22.78	22.83	23.05	22.90			
Average temperature in the warmest month, °C	23.32	23.80	23.87	23.60	23.74			
Average annual minimum temperature, °C	5.03	5.44	6.38	6.57	6.13			
Average minimum temperature, in the growing season (IV-X), °C	10.42	10.80	12.01	11.40	11.40			
Average minimum temperature in summer (VI-VIII), °C	14.47	15.60	15.84	15.60	15.66			
Average of absolute minimum temperature, °C	-17	-20	-12.2	-15.4	-16.1			
Average annual maximum temperature, °C	17.05	18.04	18.03	19.28	18.45			
Average maximum temperature in the growing season (IV-X), °C	24.46	25.22	26.66	25.63	25.84			
Average maximum temperature in summer (VI-VIII), °C	29.01	30.01	29.81	30.50	30.11			
Average maximum temperature in the warmest month, °C	29.87	30.16	28.87	29.64	29.56			
Annual total precipitation, mm	608	661	623	529	604			
Total precipitation in the growing season (IV-X), mm	428	415	312	385	371			
Total precipitation in summer (VI-VIII), mm	198	155	228	142	175			
Huglin index (HI)	2346	2408	2646	2458	2504			
Winkler index (WI)	1726	1710	1997	1825	1844			
Cool night index (CNI)	10.45	12.16	11.30	10.60	11.35			

Values below 2400 units of the Huglin index, determine a titratable acidity generally higher

than 5.5-6 g/L, while Brix is generally lower than 22%, which lead to harmonious lightbodied wines, with less than 13% vol. alcohol, typical for 'Fetească regală' variety. Values higher than 2400 units lead to more unbalanced wines with higher alcohol concentration, sometimes over 13.5% vol. alcohol and the mandatory need for acidity corrections (Bucur et al., 2019).

#### The development of the main phenophases

The greatest variation from one year to another was found in flowering and harvest maturity, these phenophases being more influenced by climate variability (Table 2). It can also be seen, that, grapes veraison (50% of berries softening) was recorded on average on DOY 209 (July 28) with variations between DOY 205 (July 24) and DOY 212 (July 31).

Table 2. Mean day of year (DOY) of the phenological stages (budburst; flowering; veraison and harvest) and the corresponding standard deviations (SD in days), for 'Fetească regală' variety (2017-2019)

Year	Budburst	Flowering	Veraison	Harvest	
	(50%)	(50%)	(50%)	maturity	
2017	101	148	210	247	
2018	103	136	205	255	
2019	104	151	212	251	
	$103\pm1.53$	$145\pm7.97$	$209\ \pm 3.61$	$251\pm4$	
Mean	(April 13)	(May 25)	(July 28)	(September 8)	

Larger differences were found in harvest maturity, on average on DOY 251 (September 08), with variations between DOY 247 (September 04) and DOY 255 (September 12).

### The effect of green operations applied

Table 3 shows insignificant differences between variants each year, in terms of the average weight of a grape and yield.

Defoliation resulted in a significant reduction in the average weight of the berry each year (on average 2.17 g compared to 2.29 g in the control).

Regarding the accumulation of sugars in the berries, in each year of experimentation a significant reduction of its content was found, on average from 22.8°Brix at control, to 21.5°Brix at leaf removal. A similar reduction was found in the case of the application of severe shoot topping (21.8°Brix).

Compared to the control, in which every year there was an accentuated reduction in titratable acidity (4.8-4.9 g/L tartaric acid). In the case of severe shoot topping this parameter was maintained at normal values (6.6-6.8 g/L tartaric acid).

Following the application of defoliation, the acidity took on low values (5.1-6.3 g/L tartaric acid), but higher than the control.

Acidity values less than 6 g/L tartaric acid, lead to flat white wines, fade which requires corrections of this parameter.

Year	Variant	Grape weight, grams	Berry weight, grams	Yield, kg/vine	Brix, %	TTA, g/L tartaric acid
2017	Control	$100.7\pm2.4^{a}$	$2.25\pm0.1^{\mathtt{a}}$	$3.9\pm0.1^{\text{a}}$	$22.6\pm0.1^{\text{b}}$	$4.9\pm0.1^{\text{b}}$
	Severe shoot topping	$112.5\pm4.3^{\mathtt{a}}$	$2.28\pm0.1^{\mathtt{a}}$	$4.2\pm0.2^{\textbf{a}}$	$21.7\pm0.2^{\mathtt{a}}$	$6.8\pm0.3^{\text{a}}$
	Leaf removal	$105.6\pm4.3^{\mathtt{a}}$	$2.16\pm0.1^{\text{a}}$	$4.2\pm0.2^{\text{a}}$	$21.3\pm0.2^{\mathtt{a}}$	$5.3\pm0.4^{\text{b}}$
2018	Control	$101.9\pm2.4^{a}$	$2.40\pm0.1^{\text{b}}$	$3.9\pm0.2^{\text{a}}$	$22.7\pm0.1^{\text{b}}$	$4.8\pm0.1^{\text{b}}$
	Severe shoot topping	$107.3\pm5.2^{\textbf{a}}$	$2.42\pm0.1^{\text{b}}$	$4.3\pm0.3^{\mathbf{a}}$	$21.8\pm0.3^{\mathtt{a}}$	$6.6\pm0.2^{\mathbf{a}}$
	Leaf removal	$101.2\pm5.0^{\mathtt{a}}$	$2.20\pm0.1^{\text{a}}$	$4.0\pm0.2^{\text{a}}$	$21.5\pm0.2^{\mathtt{a}}$	$6.5\pm0.1^{\text{a}}$
2019	Control	$104.4\pm2.2^{\mathtt{a}}$	$2.22\pm0.1^{\text{a}}$	$3.9\pm0.1^{\text{a}}$	$23.0\pm0.2^{\text{b}}$	$4.9\pm0.1^{\text{b}}$
	Severe shoot topping	$103.1\pm7.3^{\mathtt{a}}$	$2.25\pm0.1^{\texttt{a}}$	$3.9\pm0.2^{\text{a}}$	$22.0\pm0.3^{\texttt{a}}$	$6.7\pm0.2^{\mathtt{a}}$
	Leaf removal	$101.1\pm4.6^{a}$	$2.14\pm0.1^{\mathtt{a}}$	$3.8\pm0.3^{\text{a}}$	$21.7\pm0.1^{\text{a}}$	$5.1\pm0.3^{\text{b}}$
Overall	Control	$102.3\pm1.3^{\mathtt{a}}$	$2.29\pm0.1^{\text{b}}$	$3.9\pm0.1^{\text{a}}$	$22.8\pm0.1^{\text{b}}$	$4.9\pm0.1^{\mathfrak{c}}$
	Severe shoot topping	$107.6\pm3.2^{\mathbf{a}}$	$2.32\pm0.1^{\text{b}}$	$4.1\pm0.2^{\textbf{a}}$	$21.8\pm0.2^{\mathtt{a}}$	$6.7\pm0.1^{\text{a}}$
	Leaf removal	$102.6\pm2.4^{\textbf{a}}$	$2.17\pm0.1^{\mathtt{a}}$	$4.0\pm0.2^{\text{a}}$	$21.5\pm0.1^{\text{a}}$	$5.6\pm0.3^{\text{b}}$

Table 3. Grapes quantitative and qualitative parameters at harvesting time for Fetească regală variety\* (2017-2019)

\*One Way ANOVA, post-hoc Tukey HSD p<0.05.

## CONCLUSIONS

Global warming which has been more pronounced in recent years has determined an exaggerated accumulation of sugars in the grapevine berries, under the conditions of accentuated degradation of the titratable acidity (under the 6 g/L tartaric acid) which required corrections.

As a means of avoiding this situation, winegrowers have the application of green operations at their disposal which have in these circumstances, through their application and intensity, favourable effects to avoid excessive accumulations of sugars, with the possibility of obtaining balanced wines.

In the conditions of degradation of the titratable acidity, the defoliation (on a portion of 35-40 cm above the grapes) and the severe topping when the grapes reached maturity proved to have a favourable influence (14-15.5°Brix).

Both green operations have proved their efficacy in the normal ripening of grapes under experimental conditions.

The reduction of the average weight of the grape berries, induced by the defoliation, can have a positive influence on the accumulation of aromatic compounds in the peel, especially in the varieties subjected to a pre-fermentative maceration.

## REFERENCES

- Belea, G.M. (2008). Research on optimizing the structure of vine vegetation in order to improve yield quality. *Doctoral thesis.* UASVM Bucharest, 225 p.
- Brînduşe, E., Şerdinescu, A., & Tudorache, A. (2013). Influence of soil maintenance systems in vineyards on soil microbial diversity. 36<sup>th</sup> World Congress of Vine and Wine, Bucharest, Romania.
- Bucur, G.M., Cojocaru, G.A., & Antoce, A.O. (2019). The climat change influences and trends on the grapevine growing in Southern Romania: A longterm study. 42<sup>nd</sup> Congress of Vine and Wine. BIO Web of Conferences 15, 01008, 1-6.
- Caccavello, G., Giaccone, M., Scognamiglio, P., Mataffo, A., Teobaldelli, M., & Basile, B. (2019). Vegetative, yield, and berry quality response of Aglianico to shoot-trimming applied at three stages of berry ripening. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture 70*(4), 351-359.
- Davies, C., Nicholson, E.L., Böttcher, C., Burbidge, C.A., Bastian, S.E., Harvey, K.E., Huang, A-C., Taylor, D.K., & Boss, P.K. (2015). Shiraz wines made from grape berries (*Vitis vinifera*) Delayed in ripening by plant growth regulator treatment have

elevated rotundone concentrations and "pepper" flavor and aroma. *J Agric Food Chem* 63, 2137-2144.

- Dima, V., Georgescu, F., & Irimescu, A. (2019). *Heat waves in Romania*. Bucharest, RO: Printech Publishing House.
- Enache, V., & Donici, A. (2014). Research on minimizing the disruptive effect of climate change on viticulture by applying adapted technologies. "Ion Ionescu de la Brad" University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Iaşi, *Scientific Papers, Horticulture Series*, 57 (2) 169-174.
- Filippetti, I., Allegro, O., Movahed, N., Pastore, C., Valentini, G., & Intrieri C. (2011). Effects of lateseason source limitation by trimming and antitranspirants canopy spray on grape composition during ripening in *Vitis vinifera* cv. Sangiovese. *Proceedings 17<sup>th</sup> International Symposium GiESCO*, Asti – Alba (CN), Italy, 259 – 262.
- Frioni, T., Tombesi, S., Silvestroni, I., & Palliotti A. (2016). Post-budburst spur-pruning reduces yield and delays fruit sugar accumulation in cv. Sangiovese in Central Italy. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 67(4), 419-425.
- Gatti, M., Galbignani, M., Garavani, A., Bernizzoni, F., Tombesi, S., Palliotti, A., & Poni, S. (2016). Manipulation of ripening via antitranspirants in cv. Barbera (*Vitis vinifera* L.). Aust J Grape Wine Res 22, 245-255.
- Holzapfel, B., Smith, J., Gouot, J., Barril, C., Walker, A. R. & Edwards, E. J. (2020). Effect of severe summer pruning, leaf and bunch removal on nitrogenous compounds in Shiraz must in Australia. *Acta Horticulture (1276)*, 79-85.
- Lopez-Granados, F., Torres-Sanchez, J., Jimenez-Brenes, F.M., Oneka, O., Marin, D., Loidi, M., Castro, A.I., & Santesteban, L.G. (2020). Monitoring vineyard canopy management operations using UAV-acquired photogrammetric point clouds. *Remote Sensing 12*, Art. 2331, 22p.
- Lorenz, D.H., Eichhorn K.W, Bleiholder H., Klose, R., Meier, U., & Weber, E. (1994). "Phänologische Entwicklungsstadien der Weinrebe (*Vitis vinifera* L. ssp. vinifera)". Vitic. Enol. Sci. 49, 66–70.
- Martinez de Toda, F., & Balda, P. (2013). Delaying berry ripening through manipulating leaf area to fruit ratio. *Vitis 52* (4), 171–176.
- Moran, M.A., Petrie, P., & Sadras, V. (2018). Late pruning and elevated temperature impact on phenology, yield components and berry traits in Shiraz. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture* (AJEV). DOI: 10.5344/ajev.18031, 1-25.
- Novello, V., & de Palma, L. (2013). Viticultural strategy to reduce alcohol levels in wine. Alcohol level reduction in wine. *Oenoviti International Network. 1st International Symposium, Bordeaux*, 3–8.
- Palliotti, A., Panara, F., Silvestroni, O., Lanari, V., Sabbatini, P., Howell, G.S., Gatti, M., & Poni, S. (2013a). Influence of mechanical postveraison leaf removal apical to the cluster zone on delay of fruit ripening in Sangiovese (*Vitis vinifera* L) grapevines. *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research*, 19, 369-377.

- Palliotti, A., Panara, F., Famiani, F., Sabbatini, P., Howell, G.S., Silvestroni, O., & Poni, S. (2013b). Postveraison application of antitranspirant Di-1-pmenthene to control sugar accumulation in Sangiovese grapevines. *Am. J. Enol. Vitic.* 64, 378– 385.
- Palliotti, A., Tombesi, S., Silvestroni, O., Lanari, V., Gatti, M., & Poni, S. (2014). Changes in vineyard establishment and canopy management urged by earlier climate-related grape ripening: A review. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 178, 43-54.
- Parker, A.K., Raw, V., Martin, D., Haycock, S., Sherman, E., & Trought, M.C. (2016). Reduced grapevine canopy size post-flowering via mechanical trimming alters ripening and yield of 'Pinot noir'. *VITIS J Grapevine Res 55*, 1-9.
- Poni, S., Gatti, M., Bernizzoni, F., Civardi, S., Bobeica, N., Magnanini, E., & Palliotti, A. (2013). Late leaf removal aimed at delaying ripening in cv. Sangiovese: physiological assessment and vine performance. Aust J Grape Wine Res 19, 378-387.
- Quénol, H., Grosset, M., Barbeau, G., Van Leeuwen, K., Hofmann, M., Foss, C., Irimia, L.M., Rochard, J., Boulanger, J.P., Tissot, C., & Miranda C. (2014). Adaptation of Viticulture to Climate Change: high resolution obsevations of adaptation scenario for viticulture: the ADVICLIM European Project. Bulletin de l'OIV, 87, 395-406.
- Rustioni, L., Maghradze, D., Popescu, C.F., Cola, G., Abashidze, E., Aroutiounian, R., Brazao, J., Coletti, S., Cornea, V., Dejeu, L., Dinu, D., Eiras Dias, J.E., Fiori, S., Goryslavets, S., Ibáñez, J., Kocsis, L., Lorenzini, F., Maletic, E., Mamasakhlisashvili, L., Margaryan, K., Mdinaradze, I., Memetova, E., Montemayor, M.I., Muñoz-Organero, G., Nemeth, G., Nikolaou, N., Raimondi, S., Risovanna, V., Sakaveli, F., Savin, G., Savvides, S., Schneider, A., Schwander, F., Spring, J.L., Pastore, G., Preiner, D.,

Ujmajuridze, L., Zioziou, E., Maul, E., Bacilieri, R., & Failla O. (2014). First results of the European grapevine collections' collaborative network: validation of a standard eno-carpological phenotyping method. *Vitis* 53:219-226.

- Silvestroni, O., Lanari, V., Lattanzi, T., Palliotti, A., Vanderweide, J., & Sabbatini, P. (2019). Canopy management strategies to control yield and grape composition of Montepulciano grapevines. *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research* 25(1), 30-42.
- Stoll, M., Scheidweiler, M., Lafontaine, M., & Schultz, H.R. (2009). Possibilities to reduce the velocity of berry maturation through various leaf area to fruit ratio modifications in *Vitis vinifera* L. Riesling. *Progrès Agricole et Viticole*, 127, 68–71.
- Şerdinescu, A., Pîrcălabu, L., Enache, V., Ranca, A., Bosoi, M., Dumitru, E., & Răţoi, I. (2013). Technological solutions for the diminution of the disturbing effect of climatic changes in viticulture. 36<sup>th</sup> World Congress of Vine and Wine, Bucharest, Romania.
- Şerdinescu, A., & Brînduşe, E. (2014). Influence of soil maintenance system in vineyards on soil microbial diversity and water regime under drought conditions. *International Journal of the American Farm School* of Thessaloniki, 1(1), 1-8.
- Zheng, W., Garcia, J., Balda, P., & Martinez de Toda, F. (2017). Effects of late winter pruning at different phenological stages on vine yield components and berry composition in La Rioja, north-central Spain OENO One 51(4), 363-372.
- COST Action FA1003 (2010). "East-West Collaboration for Grapevine Diversity Exploration and Mobilization of Adaptive Traits for Breeding". Retrieved 2020 November 23 fromhttp://users. unimi.it/grapenet/.